WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.168$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

00:00:03.168 --> 00:00:06.160 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00:00:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.335$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00:00:08.335 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.075$ with Doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

00:00:10.080 --> 00:00:11.536 Yale Cancer Answers features

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

00:00:11.536 --> 00:00:13.356 the latest information on cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

00:00:13.356 --> 00:00:15.211 care by welcoming oncologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00:00:15.211 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.359$ specialists who are on the forefront

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00:00:17.424 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.080$ of the battle to fight cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00{:}00{:}19.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.474$ This week it's a conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00{:}00{:}21.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.512$ stereotactic radio surgery for lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00{:}00{:}23.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.377$ cancer with doctor Nadine Housri.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00{:}00{:}25.380 \to 00{:}00{:}27.582$ Dr. Housri is an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00{:}00{:}27.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.050$ of the rapeutic radiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00:00:29.109 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.739$ the Yale School of Medicine,

00:00:30.740 --> 00:00:32.515 where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93760175

 $00:00:32.515 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.935$ professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

00:00:35.180 --> 00:00:36.818 So, Nadine, maybe we can start off

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00:00:36.818 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.689$ by you telling us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00:00:38.689 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.339$ about yourself and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}00{:}40.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.580$ Sure. So I am a radiation oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}00{:}44.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.532$ which is a physician who treats

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}00{:}47.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.500$ cancer patients with radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}00{:}49.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.572$ Typically along the cancer journey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00:00:52.572 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.360$ a patient will see a medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}00{:}55.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.580$ who treats with medications such

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

00:00:58.580 --> 00:01:01.100 as chemotherapy or immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00:01:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.938$ a surgeon who operates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}01{:}03.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.538$ surgically removes tumors and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00:01:06.538 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.789$ radiation oncologist who uses ionizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320962

 $00{:}01{:}08.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.459$ radiation like Xrays to treat cancer.

00:01:13.700 --> 00:01:15.500 And your specialty is in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.600$ is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.904$ Maybe you can lay out a bit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:19.904 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.237$ us the landscape of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.120$ How are lung cancers most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.624$ frequently managed and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:25.624 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.678$ do patients get to see you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372111

 $00:01:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.640$ So it all depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00{:}01{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.188$ on the stage. Patients typically present

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:01:32.188 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.800$ with stage one to four lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:01:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.684$ one being lung cancer that is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:01:38.684 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.524$ in the lung and four being lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00{:}01{:}41.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.529$ cancer that has spread elsewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:01:43.529 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.870$ outside of the lung and lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:01:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.838$ There's a role for radiation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00{:}01{:}48.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.430$ patients along any of these stages.

 $00:01:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.188$ For the most advanced lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00{:}01{:}53.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.571$ typically my role is to help palliate

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:01:55.571 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.857$ symptoms and make patients more comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

00:01:57.857 --> 00:02:00.668 and have a good quality of life and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:00.668 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.122$ radiation is excellent in this regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

00:02:03.122 --> 00:02:06.630 For very early stage lung cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.301$ radiation is curative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:08.301 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.643$ We often treat with stereotactic radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:11.643 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.727$ therapy to treat early stage lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.058$ and in the middle among the patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

00:02:17.058 --> 00:02:19.247 have stage two or stage 3 lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

00:02:19.250 --> 00:02:21.570 we work very closely with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00{:}02{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.622$ medical on cologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

00:02:23.622 --> 00:02:27.170 surgeons to do a combination of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.630$ chemotherapy,

00:02:27.630 --> 00:02:29.470 immunotherapy to treat these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.850$ patients as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.180$ So patients may see me with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94177026

 $00:02:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.930$ any type of lung cancer.

 $00{:}02{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.930$ And you mentioned stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299414

 $00{:}02{:}39.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.610$ radio surgery for early stage lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299414

00:02:42.610 --> 00:02:44.962 Can you help our audience to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299414

 $00:02:44.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.782$ what exactly is a stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299414

00:02:46.782 --> 00:02:49.026 radiosurgery and how does it work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

00:02:49.270 --> 00:02:52.910 Sure. A very long time ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

00:02:52.910 --> 00:02:55.374 you know 20 plus years ago when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}02{:}55.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.710$ patients had early stage lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}02{:}57.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.270$ and we're not surgical candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:02:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.191$ for some reason that they couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}03{:}01.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.003$ have a lobectomy or they couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:03.003 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.814$ have the tumor removed surgically,

 $00:03:04.814 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.622$ there really weren't very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

00:03:06.622 --> 00:03:08.430 many options for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.478$ One of the alternatives was radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.616$ but it didn't work to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:14.616 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.040$ really control the tumor long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.678$ Over the past 20 years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}03{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.948$ this newer technology called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

00:03:20.948 --> 00:03:22.649 stereotactic radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}03{:}22.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.620$ has been developed to deliver very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.996$ high doses of radiation that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.212$ we would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:29.212 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.636$ ablative or curative and it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.000$ actually kill cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.960$ and deliver excellent local control

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.325$ and actually cure patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}03{:}38.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.285$ cannot otherwise undergo surgery.

00:03:40.285 --> 00:03:41.770 In other situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.370$ for one reason or another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.418$ a patient chooses not to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

00:03:46.418 --> 00:03:49.098 surgery and chooses to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00{:}03{:}49.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.430$ radiation therapy and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.180$ a role for stereotactic radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389042

 $00:03:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.689$ for those patients as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402994

 $00{:}03{:}55.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.762$ So can you tell us kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402994

00:03:58.762 --> 00:04:01.448 pluses and minuses of choosing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402994

 $00{:}04{:}01.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.232$ have radiation as opposed to surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402994

 $00:04:04.232 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.839$ for these early stage cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402994

 $00:04:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.680$ Sure. So one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.682$ that's important to note is that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:10.682 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.920$ Yale we work very closely together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.160$ I'm constantly speaking to

 $00:04:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.205$ the surgeons, the thoracic surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}04{:}18.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.250$ who often times are seeing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:20.317 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.639$ patients with early stage disease first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.291$ And so these conversations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:04:26.193 --> 00:04:28.496 discussions with myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:04:28.496 --> 00:04:31.900 the surgeon, the patient, perhaps the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:04:31.900 --> 00:04:34.308 medical oncologist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:34.308 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.790$ they're not made overnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:37.786 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.837$ And at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.996$ often times it is the patient who

 $00:04:43.652 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.998$ is the captain of the ship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.917$ And at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.992$ they're the ones who are making decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:47.992 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.234$ that they feel are best for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}04{:}50.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.272$ IN terms of benefits, I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:04:53.272 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.500$ say the first thing is for patients,

 $00:04:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.793$ many people who develop lung

 $00:04:59.793 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.058$ cancer have other medical issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.260$ especially if they have a history of smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.760$ And so there's always a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.260$ to undergoing anesthesia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}05{:}10.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.564$ There's always risk to perhaps a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:05:12.564 --> 00:05:15.529 worsening of their pulmonary function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.755$ their breathing function

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:17.755 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.344$ following surgery if they're not in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:20.344 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.409$ the best of shape to begin with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.538$ And in these situations I very strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:24.538 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.924$ advocate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:26.924 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.890$ radiation therapy as opposed to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}05{:}29.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.970$ The first thing to understand is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.994$ it's not invasive radiation it is xrays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:35.994 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.265$ So just like when you get a chest X-ray,

00:05:41.070 --> 00:05:42.180 you don't

 $00:05:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.070$ see anything, smell anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.590$ feel anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.908$ You just hear a machine buzz and you're done.

 $00:05:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.854$ You walk out and you don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:47.854 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.270$ feel anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.685$ It's very similar to what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:50.685 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.629$ feels like to undergo radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}05{:}53.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.628$ It's also delivered in a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:05:55.628 --> 00:05:56.627 number of treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:05:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.878$ anywhere from 3 to 5 to 8 treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}05{:}59.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.679$ So within a week and a half or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:04.272 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.288$ your entire treatment is done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:06.288 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.318$ It is not much of a hassle to your life.

 $00:06:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.435$ You're doing all the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:10.435 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.198$ that you love and there's no real

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:06:12.200 --> 00:06:14.894 restrictions and patients don't

 $00:06:14.894 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.769$ have side effects during this treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.546$ And so it's not invasive.

 $00{:}06{:}21.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.130$ I never say anything's easy to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:06:23.191 --> 00:06:24.387 through, especially cancer treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:24.387 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.577$ but if I were to say something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:26.577 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.599$ is easy it would be stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:06:28.599 --> 00:06:31.272 radiation therapy or SBRT and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:31.272 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.488$ following this treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.170$ side effects are not very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.114 \ 80\%$ of patients will not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:37.114 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.086$ any side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.330$ There's always those risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.092$ of someone developing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}06{:}42.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.328$ called radiation pneumonitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:43.328 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.202$ which is inflammation of the lung which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:46.202 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.260$ is treatable and that's small,

 $00:06:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.640$ it's less than 15%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.642$ There's always risk that the tumor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}06{:}51.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.053$ very close to the ribs or the chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:54.053 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.123$ wall that someone could develop a rib

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:06:56.123 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.957$ fracture which will heal on its own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

00:06:57.960 --> 00:07:00.720 But otherwise patients do incredibly well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:07:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.340$ with stereotactic radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00:07:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.164$ In terms of you know why I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314499

 $00{:}07{:}07.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.400$ really recommend patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:08.466 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.195$ are young, who are healthy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00{:}07{:}10.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.710$ will do very well with surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.311$ I do recommend that they still go on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00{:}07{:}14.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.966$ talk to the thoracic surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:16.966 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.790$ and very strongly consider surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.617$ You know, it's been the standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:22.617 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.120$ for a very long time and we've never

 $00:07:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.431$ really compared radiation and surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:27.431 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.166$ in a head to head randomized trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

00:07:30.170 --> 00:07:33.266 especially in patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:33.266 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.122$ very healthy and can undergo either option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00{:}07{:}36.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.686$ And so especially in younger patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.610$ people who are in their 50s or 60s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

00:07:41.610 --> 00:07:44.235 we do often times really advocate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:44.235 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.330$ surgery if they can undergo surgery.

00:07:48.570 --> 00:07:49.746 One of the great things about surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:49.746 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.208$ is when you take out a lobe of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00{:}07{:}51.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.330$ there's no chance of the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.488$ coming back in that lobe because it's gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.561$ Whereas with radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:56.561 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.703$ it's incredibly unlikely the tumor will

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00:07:58.703 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.106$ come back where we delivered the radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025755

 $00{:}08{:}01.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.374$ but it could pop up in a different

 $00:08:02.374 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.069$ part of the lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.158$ So why is it that there hasn't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:07.158 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.658$ a randomized control trial comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:09.658 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.026$ stereotactic radiotherapy to surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.109$ Because the way you paint the picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

00:08:14.110 --> 00:08:16.350 it sounds like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

00:08:16.350 --> 00:08:18.270 for most people they're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00{:}08{:}18.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.302$ this saying, well, geez, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.382$ if these two are truly equivalent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00{:}08{:}23.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.560$ terms of outcomes and there's next to no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00{:}08{:}26.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.960$ side effects with the radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.312$ I won't feel anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00{:}08{:}30.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.000$ I won't have a big cut or even a little cut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.394$ I won't need to be in hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.178$ I won't need to take too much

 $00:08:38.178 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.320$ time off of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.134$ Presumably we can fit these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:42.134 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.840$ in between my work schedule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.850$ Why wouldn't I do radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316858

 $00:08:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.520$ even if I am young and healthy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.754$ Yeah, that's a great question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00{:}08{:}51.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.680$ I think somewhat you answered it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:08:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.584$ People all have a bias and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:08:55.584 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.504$ that's what makes it difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00{:}08{:}57.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.239$ enroll patients to randomized studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

00:08:59.240 --> 00:09:00.910 Even if I can say, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.800 \text{ I don't really know if one is}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.905$ better than the other or if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:04.905 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.005$ equal and we will randomize you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

00:09:07.005 --> 00:09:08.830 you would either undergo surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

00:09:08.830 --> 00:09:11.760 or undergo radiation therapy,

00:09:11.760 --> 00:09:13.680 many people have a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00{:}09{:}13.680 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.640$ preference for one or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

00:09:15.640 --> 00:09:16.822 And in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:16.822 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.186$ physicians often have a strong preference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.022$ I think that we often

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:21.022 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.812$ say the surgeons want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:22.812 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.402$ operate and the radiation oncologist wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:24.402 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.558$ to give radiation and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:26.558 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.790$ for those two reasons it has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.454$ difficult to actually enroll patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:30.454 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.784$ The trials have been developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00:09:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.206$ the trials have opened and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00{:}09{:}33.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.534$ real issue has been enrolling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416347

 $00{:}09{:}34.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.189$ patients to the clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.728$ That all being said,

 $00:09:40.728 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.350$ I will say having

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.000$ worked in many places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.348$ a number of places, Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:48.348 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.840$ being the one I've been at the longest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.599$ Our team really has a very cooperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:54.599 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.180$ and very measured approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00{:}09{:}57.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.256$ You know I think every body has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:09:59.256 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.573$ biases but if you look for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:10:01.573 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.211$ a place where the surgeons are skilled,

 $00:10:05.176 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.814$ and the radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

00:10:06.868 --> 00:10:08.183 oncologists are skilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:10:08.183 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.520$ and try to be really fair in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00{:}10{:}10.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.560$ recommendations, I do think

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:10:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.699$ that this is a great place for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

00:10:14.700 --> 00:10:16.200 But unfortunately we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.92188966

 $00:10:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.700$ have that randomized data.

00:10:18.900 --> 00:10:22.608 So you know this, this goes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00{:}10{:}22.608 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}25.576$ the point of why every one should

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:25.576 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.756$ enroll patients and patients should

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

00:10:27.756 --> 00:10:30.020 enroll in clinical trials because

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.260$ otherwise we are in a data free zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.717$ We don't have the information

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:35.717 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.447$ as to whether there is truly a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:38.447 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.600$ in terms of outcomes for these two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00{:}10{:}40.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.816$ But if we look at the data that we do have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.180$ so presumably there are some longterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00{:}10{:}47.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.156$ data on Stereotactic radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

00:10:50.156 --> 00:10:53.176 versus longterm data on surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.940$ If we look at cohort studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.116$ do the outcomes appear to be the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:10:58.116 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.817$ or is one slightly inferior to the other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

 $00:11:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.052$ I mean does that play in to this

 $00{:}11{:}04.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.364$ decision making particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93115854

00:11:05.364 --> 00:11:07.380 for young healthy patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:07.980 --> 00:11:10.820 Yeah, exactly. So I did a lot of the data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.980$ the retrospective data does appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:12.980 --> 00:11:15.470 to say probably they are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.900$ similar to each other in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:17.973 --> 00:11:20.648 who don't have medical comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.650$ The data is more in favor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.366$ of surgery in that cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.570$ In patients who do have more medical issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.802$ the data shows either a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:31.802 --> 00:11:33.987 little more equivalence or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:33.987 --> 00:11:35.424 more towards radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:35.424 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.866$ So that's why I'm saying someone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:37.866 --> 00:11:40.120 very young, they're very healthy,

00:11:40.120 --> 00:11:42.732 my preference, my bias, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:42.732 --> 00:11:44.797 is they undergo surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.319$ but many people are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:46.320 --> 00:11:48.156 Many of our patients have emphysema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:48.160 --> 00:11:51.120 they have COPD, they have a smoking history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:51.120 --> 00:11:54.280 you know, high blood pressure, diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:54.280 --> 00:11:55.678 you know, in those kinds of situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

00:11:55.680 --> 00:11:56.796 I think that we should very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095475

 $00:11:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.960$ very strongly consider radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.926$ And so one of the other issues that you kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:04.926 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.146$ of raised about radiation therapy is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

00:12:09.146 --> 00:12:13.640 while tumors may recur anywhere, I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.280$ whether you've had surgery or radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.035$ they won't recur where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:19.035 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.239$ radiation treatment was delivered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

00:12:21.240 --> 00:12:24.194 Whereas if somebody removes an entire lobe,

 $00{:}12{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.279$ it certainly won't recur in that lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.876$ It may recur in other lobes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.360$ but not in that lobe or the lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.466$ Yeah, and the same would be for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:34.466 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.489$ radiation therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.046$ Can you talk a little bit about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:39.046 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.170$ the multidisciplinary efforts that go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

00:12:41.170 --> 00:12:44.930 on to ensure that the rest of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

00:12:44.930 --> 00:12:47.370 the lymph nodes, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00{:}12{:}47.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.414$ that we reduce the risk of recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:49.414 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.290$ in those areas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

00:12:50.290 --> 00:12:52.522 I mean, is it kind of you have your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00{:}12{:}52.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.133$ surgery and your radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00:12:54.133 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.432$ and then you're done and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

00:12:56.432 --> 00:12:58.202 just monitor you or do patients

 $00:12:58.202 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.538$ have other kinds of treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262546

 $00{:}12{:}59.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.330$ Can you talk a little bit about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.062$ Sure. And I also just want to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:04.062 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.094$ moment and remind listeners that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:06.094 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.979$ talking about early stage lung cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.800$ often stage 1 or sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.256$ stage two lung cancer.

 $00:13:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.237$ All of these conversations are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00{:}13{:}15.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.613$ about stage 3 or stage 4 lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

00:13:17.620 --> 00:13:21.880 That's a very different treatment paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.359$ So one of the things that's incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:27.359 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.180$ important is that we do a thorough work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.679$ up before we even consider the options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.222$ And that means that we're doing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:35.222 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.119$ biopsy on the primary tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00{:}13{:}37.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.104$ that we are checking the lymph nodes

00:13:39.104 --> 00:13:41.567 in the middle of the chest with either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:41.567 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.515$ an endobronchial ultrasound procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

00:13:43.515 --> 00:13:47.040 which is done by a pulmonologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.525$ or a mini signoscopy which is done

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:49.525 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.742$ by a thoracic surgeon and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:51.742 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.030$ course doing a PET scan as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:53.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.730$ These are all incredibly important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

00:13:54.730 --> 00:13:57.149 before we even move forward and consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00{:}13{:}57.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.110$ surgery and radiation as options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:13:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.218$ I think it's always better for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:14:02.218 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.580$ the patients that we have all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00:14:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.870$ information because then we're making

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421368

 $00{:}14{:}05.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.424$ the best decisions based on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

 $00:14:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.010$ So we're going to pick up this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

00:14:11.010 --> 00:14:13.209 conversation right after we take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

 $00:14:13.209 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.189$ short break for a medical minute,

 $00:14:15.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.262$ but please stay tuned to learn more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

00:14:17.262 --> 00:14:18.994 about stereotactic radio surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

 $00:14:18.994 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.104$ and the overall treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

00:14:21.104 --> 00:14:23.080 lung cancer with my guest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329616

 $00:14:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.439$ Doctor Nadine Housri.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.220$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

00:14:27.220 --> 00:14:29.240 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.348$ where 16 locations across the region provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:32.348 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.344$ patients with individualized, innovative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.000$ convenient and comprehensive care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}14{:}37.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.222$ Find a Smilow location near you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:40.222 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.970$ at smilowcancerhospital.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}14{:}42.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.170$ The American Cancer Society estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.246$ that more than 65,000 Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:47.246 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.514$ will be diagnosed with head and

 $00:14:49.514 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.969$ neck cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.225$ making up about 4% of all cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:54.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.878$ diagnosed when detected early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

00:14:55.878 --> 00:14:58.254 However, head and neck cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:14:58.254 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.450$ easily treated and highly curable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

00:15:00.450 --> 00:15:02.430 Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.410$ underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.125$ Comprehensive Cancer Centers such

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:06.125 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.421$ as Yale Cancer Center and Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

00:15:08.421 --> 00:15:10.605 Cancer Hospital to test innovative new

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}15{:}10.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.821$ treatments for head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

00:15:12.821 --> 00:15:14.926 Yale Cancer Center was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:14.926 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.046$ awarded grants from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:17.046 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.218$ Institutes of Health to fund the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

00:15:19.218 --> 00:15:21.926 Yale Head and Neck Cancer Specialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:21.926 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.994$ Program of Research Excellence,

00:15:24.000 --> 00:15:24.790 or SPORE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.765$ to address critical barriers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}15{:}26.765 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}29.628$ treatment of head and neck squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:29.628 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.040$ carcinoma due to resistance to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}15{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.720$ DNA damaging and targeted the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.120$ More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.328$ at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00{:}15{:}38.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.976$ You're listening to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93680567

 $00:15:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.380$ Welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00:15:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.900$ back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

00:15:43.900 --> 00:15:45.460 This is Doctor Anees Chappar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00:15:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.700$ and I'm joined to night by my guest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00{:}15{:}47.700 --> 00{:}15{:}49.110$ Doctor Nadine Housri.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00{:}15{:}49.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.990$ We're talking about stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00:15:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.260$ radiosurgery for lung cancer.

00:15:53.260 --> 00:15:55.140 And Nadine, during the break,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

00:15:55.140 --> 00:15:56.140 you made a good point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

00:15:56.140 --> 00:15:58.765 which is that there are many synonyms

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00:15:58.765 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.460$ for stereotactic radiosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00:16:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.052$ Do you want to kind of walk our audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.933635

 $00:16:03.052 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.260$ through all of the terminology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.738$ So you you might hear different terminology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.827$ So stereotactic radiosurgery was a term

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}16{:}10.827 --> 00{:}16{:}12.962 \ initially \ developed$

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:12.962 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.188$ very similar to the type of treatment

 $00{:}16{:}18.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.260$ that we started doing in the brain for brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

00:16:20.332 --> 00:16:22.450 metastases many years ago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.426$ going back to like the 60s and 70s and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}16{:}25.426 \to 00{:}16{:}27.856$ we've adopted that terminology

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.180$ for what we do in the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

00:16:29.180 --> 00:16:31.420 Another term we often use and I

 $00:16:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.620$ used was stereotactic radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}16{:}33.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.900$ or stereotactic radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.244$ and then one I didn't use but often

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:39.244 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.742$ comes up is stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:41.742 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.882$ ablative radiation therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:43.882 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.694$ these all mean the same things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}16{:}45.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.692$ This is all very high doses of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:49.692 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.477$ delivered to a very small area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.874$ And the reason we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:54.874 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.520$ transition from doing this only in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.478$ the brain so many years ago to now

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:16:58.478 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.318$ doing it all over the body is because of technology.

 $00:17:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.748$ Our technology has improved so much in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}17{:}05.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.076$ how we can deliver radiation the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}17{:}08.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.637$ where we can be incredibly meticulous

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

00:17:10.637 --> 00:17:13.717 in targeting the radiation in a

00:17:13.797 --> 00:17:16.407 very specific area, we can visualize

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}17{:}16.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.115$ with every single treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:17:19.115 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.394$ really maximize the dose of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:17:22.394 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.733$ to that tumor and minimize the dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:17:25.733 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.690$ to the things that are not tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

00:17:27.690 --> 00:17:29.038 your lungs, your esophagus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:17:29.038 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.229$ your spinal cord, your chest wall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

00:17:31.229 --> 00:17:32.408 And that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}17{:}32.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.011$ I mentioned that patients do so well

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00{:}17{:}35.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.125$ with this treatment because of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

00:17:37.125 --> 00:17:39.223 and we're delivering a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:17:39.223 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.169$ dose to the tumor and minimizing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92921335

 $00:17:41.169 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.570$ dose to what we call normal organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

00:17:44.270 --> 00:17:46.268 Yeah. And I want to pick up on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

00:17:46.268 --> 00:17:48.296 that topic because right before the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:17:48.296 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.827$ break we were talking about one of

 $00:17:50.827 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.623$ the differences between stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00{:}17{:}52.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.188$ radiotherapy versus surgery being that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

00:17:56.190 --> 00:17:58.577 you know in surgery if somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:17:58.577 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.255$ takes out a lobe of the lung then

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00{:}18{:}01.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.950$ cancer can't come back in that lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00{:}18{:}03.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.988$ But in stereotactic radiotherapy, as you say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.546$ it's very localized to that tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.410$ So of course cancers can come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.338$ to the rest of the lung because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:13.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.970$ rest of that lobe is still there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.410$ But on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00{:}18{:}17.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.570$ patients don't have the deficit

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00{:}18{:}19.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.151$ in lung function that they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:22.151 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.886$ have by losing a lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.487$ So a couple of questions on that.

 $00:18:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.935$ First, how many patients have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00{:}18{:}29.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.148$ recurrence and these are early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:32.148 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.404$ patients that we were talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

00:18:34.410 --> 00:18:38.082 when you ablate a tumor in a lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.420$ how many patients will have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.570$ recurrence come back in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93186486

 $00:18:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.290$ same lobe versus not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:18:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.658$ So in terms of the recurrence in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:18:50.658 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.168$ site that we treated that's less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:18:53.168 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.778$ 5 or 10%, that is incredibly rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:18:55.778 --> 00:18:59.306 In the past, let me think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}18{:}59.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.702$ six years since I've been back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:01.702 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.722$ at Yale I've only seen that maybe one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:05.722 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.513$ or two times and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:08.513 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.238$ this is all I do is lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:11.238 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.676$ radiotherapy and what time it wasn't lung

 $00:19:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.184$ So that's incredibly rare for it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:21.184 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.138$ to come back either in that lobe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.290$ in another lobe in the lung or in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:26.290 \dashrightarrow 00:19:28.378$ lymph nodes in the middle of the chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.552$ that is closer to probably about 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:31.552 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.560$ to 30% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:38.582 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.360$ very meticulously after we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.356$ SBRT or stereotactic radiotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.040$ we follow patients very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.880$ very closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:19:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.376$ So we're getting a CAT scan every three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}19{:}49.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.590$ to four months after your treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}19{:}51.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.408$ for the first year and up until five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:19:54.408 --> 00:19:56.340 years we're getting them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:19:56.408 --> 00:19:58.700 about every four to six months

 $00{:}19{:}58.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01.199$ generally once patients get to five years

 $00{:}20{:}02.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.630$ they don't tend to occur as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:04.712 --> 00:20:07.445 commonly and I'll usually get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:07.445 --> 00:20:10.000 a CT every year at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.318$ So if something were to pop up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.132$ we find it very quickly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:17.132 --> 00:20:18.968 often times it's treatable if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:18.968 --> 00:20:20.873 have another lesion pop up in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:20.873 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.640$ lobe or in another lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:23.640 --> 00:20:26.850 I've treated many patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.446$ with stereotactic radio surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:29.446 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.800$ multiple times for either new primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.020$ often times it's a new primary lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}20{:}36.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.620$ or recurrence in the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}20{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.650$ If I can't do radiation the rapy often

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.177$ we still do have options whether that's

 $00:20:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.050$ surgical resection, not removing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.920$ whole lobe because often patients

 $00:20:48.726 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.558$ were not able to tolerate that to begin with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:50.560 --> 00:20:52.759 But just taking out the tumor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:52.759 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.673$ we have also options with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}20{:}54.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.898$ interventional radiologist who can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:55.898 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.634$ do ablation if we were to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00:20:57.634 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.173$ find some an additional tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

00:20:59.173 --> 00:21:01.775 and for some reason I can't give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9249127

 $00{:}21{:}01.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.555$ stereotactic radiation therapy again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.320$ So that's an interesting concept, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.280$ There are interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00{:}21{:}12.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.901$ radiologists who can ablate tumors also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00{:}21{:}15.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.427$ targeted just directly to the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

00:21:18.427 --> 00:21:20.569 itself and surgeons can potentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:20.569 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.369$ depending on where of course the tumor

 $00:21:23.369 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.263$ is in the lung, not take out the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00{:}21{:}26.263 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}21{:}28.998$ lung but take out or or the whole lobe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:28.998 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.550$ even but just take out that portion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.398$ So we went through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:34.398 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.170$ some of the comparison contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.142$ between surgery for a lobectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00{:}21{:}39.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.039$ but can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

00:21:42.039 --> 00:21:44.601 some of the ablative techniques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00{:}21{:}44.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.579$ the interventional radiologists use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.890$ and why stereotactic radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00{:}21{:}48.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.313$ is used as first line versus some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364746

 $00:21:52.313 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.898$ of these other ablative techniques?

 $00:21:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.840$ Absolutely. So feasibly if techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00{:}21{:}57.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.292$ are pretty good often times

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:01.292 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.620$ the local control is 70 to 80%

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.948$ which means the tumor

 $00:22:07.948 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.860$ only comes back in that area that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:10.944 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.780$ was treated maybe 20-30% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.097$ So 78% of the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:16.097 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.580$ it won't come back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.998$ That being said with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

00:22:19.998 --> 00:22:21.610 external beam radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

00:22:21.675 --> 00:22:23.793 which we've been talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:23.793 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.580$ that risk is less than 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.075$ So the reason that we tend to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:30.075 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.350$ the stereotactic radiation therapy as

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00{:}22{:}32.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.575$ opposed to an ablation with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:35.575 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.964$ interventional radiology techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:36.964 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.425$ is because we know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:39.425 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.420$ the local control is much better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.470$ But there sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.135$ reasons why we not might not

 $00:22:46.135 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.324$ be able to do the stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00{:}22{:}48.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.532$ radiation therapy and I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:22:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.635$ doing an ablation is a very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

00:22:54.635 --> 00:22:56.285 alternative in those situations. NOTE Confidence:

0.931678308

00:23:01.010 -> 00:23:03.570 So maybe there was a new tumor that's very close

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:23:03.639 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.222$ to the previous treatment fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

 $00:23:05.222 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.400$ And then we're worried about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

00:23:07.465 --> 00:23:09.367 chest wall or we're worried about

NOTE Confidence: 0.931678308

00:23:11.090 --> 00:23:13.484 your breathing tubes or the assault,

 $00:23:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.732$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92945504

 $00:23:19.732 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.900$ For the most part it sounds like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.970$ if you can use stereotactic radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.100$ that would be your preference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.969$ But if you can't, there are other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:28.969 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.900$ tools in the toolbox. Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.524$ Yeah. And the nice thing

 $00:23:32.524 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.751$ about a place like Yale, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00{:}23{:}33.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.257$ don't want to be too promotional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

00:23:35.260 --> 00:23:37.660 but I really love working here

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.938$ is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.252$ we all work together.

 $00:23:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.091$ We're all discussing all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:45.091 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.224$ these cases and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

00:23:48.224 --> 00:23:50.510 get patients in fairly quickly in a

 $00:23:52.984 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.069$ very short period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.504$ And the conversation will

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

00:23:55.504 --> 00:23:56.808 have been a multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:56.808 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.880$ one where we're all really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93440694

 $00:23:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.869$ focused on what's best for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

00:24:01.910 --> 00:24:04.752 We've been talking a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:04.752 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.188$ lot about early stage lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

00:24:07.188 --> 00:24:09.342 but as you kind of alluded

 $00:24:09.342 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.390$ to during our conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.692$ there are some roles for stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:15.692 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.954$ radiation therapy for later stage disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:18.954 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.195$ And you had mentioned that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:22.195 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.920$ the genesis of much of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.166$ techniques was in treating metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00{:}24{:}27.166 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.686$ and particularly brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

00:24:29.690 --> 00:24:32.082 Can you tell us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00{:}24{:}32.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.379$ about other potential uses of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00:24:34.379 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.008$ stereotactic radiation therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92786396

 $00{:}24{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.630$ Sure, in patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:24:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.466$ stage 4 lung cancer, and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:24:40.466 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.358$ means that the cancer has now

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

00:24:42.358 --> 00:24:44.158 spread outside of the lungs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

00:24:44.158 --> 00:24:45.989 the lymph nodes in the chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:24:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.510$ so it could be the

 $00:24:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.884$ bone or the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:24:50.884 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.246$ or the brain or

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00{:}24{:}52.246$ --> $00{:}24{:}53.308$ somewhere outside of the lung.

00:24:58.665 --> 00:25:00.070 And patients are not all the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.925$ So many years ago stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

00:25:01.925 --> 00:25:04.107 4 was just stage 4 cancer, it has spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.446$ And and this is the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:06.446 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.380$ we recommend for you. What we have found is

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00{:}25{:}08.380 \to 00{:}25{:}11.900$ actually not all stage 4 is created equal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

00:25:11.900 --> 00:25:14.900 There's patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00{:}25{:}14.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.660$ wide spread disease that's gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

00:25:16.660 --> 00:25:18.560 to multiple organs that's causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

00:25:18.560 --> 00:25:23.380 a lot of problems and maybe NOTE Confidence: 0.935301 00:25:23.380 --> 00:25:25.180

their options are limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.604$ There's patients who have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:26.604 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.740$ we say a legal metastatic disease.

 $00:25:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.976$ They've got one spot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:29.976 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.792$ in the rib where cancer is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:32.792 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.946$ one spot in the brain or

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:35.946 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.670$ a few spots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.598$ We also say low volume disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:41.598 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.562$ those situations and the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.935301

 $00:25:44.562 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.828$ treatment has been and remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.9360181

 $00:25:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.910$ systemic therapy.

00:25:54.510 --> 00:25:56.110 Chemotherapy, a targeted therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9360181

 $00:25:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.110$ maybe immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9360181

 $00{:}25{:}58.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.108$ that's still the main treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9360181

00:26:00.110 --> 00:26:01.349 It's incredibly important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.506$ but there is a role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.265$ radiation therapy and perhaps targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:06.265 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.469$ these metastatic regions.

00:26:10.406 --> 00:26:12.026 If the tumor spreads to just a few spots,

 $00:26:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.270$ we can actually go and do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00{:}26{:}14.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.254$ high dose a blative treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:16.254 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.390$ with very few side effects to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:19.473 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.988$ these other areas under control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

00:26:21.990 --> 00:26:23.470 And then the systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.348$ whether it's chemo or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:25.348 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.145$ immunotherapy or a targeted drug or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

00:26:27.145 --> 00:26:29.070 a combination of any of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00{:}26{:}29.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.876$ that's going to be that main treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:30.876 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.950$ that's going to go everywhere in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00{:}26{:}32.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.310$ So it's going to go after microscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.056$ cells and in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:40.056 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.749$ these areas where it's spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.562$ And so in those situations we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:44.562 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.513$ work very closely with the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

00:26:46.513 --> 00:26:48.223 oncologist to determine

 $00:26:48.223 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.271$ if we're going to give radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301031

 $00:26:50.271 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.866$ when it's going to happen,

 $00:26:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.626$ how to sequence it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:26:56.626 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.480$ with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:26:58.553 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.056$ systemic therapy etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:00.056 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.760$ who are the appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.153$ patients to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:03.153 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.437$ the stereotactic radiation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00{:}27{:}04.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.389$ addition to their systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

00:27:07.470 --> 00:27:09.990 Can you talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00{:}27{:}09.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.376$ about kind of the interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00{:}27{:}12.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.774$ between some of the medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:14.774 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.666$ the chemotherapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

00:27:15.670 --> 00:27:17.530 the targeted the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.390$ immunotherapy and radiation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.462$ For example, do some drugs make

 $00:27:22.462 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.510$ the radiation work better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00{:}27{:}24.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.576$ Do some drugs make the toxicities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:27.576 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.582$ of radiation worse and how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387525

 $00:27:30.582 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.630$ you kind of navigate that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.189$ That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.350$ It's a very complicated answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:27:37.350 --> 00:27:40.940 So I would start by saying we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.390$ still learning a lot about this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:27:43.390 --> 00:27:45.508 If you just look at immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:27:45.510 --> 00:27:47.365 which many people have heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:47.365 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.610$ about is this huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.350$ breakthrough in cancer treatment that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.510$ really been only been around since

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.550$ 2014 or or approved since 2014, 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:27:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.134$ We often are finding that radiation therapy

 $00:28:01.134 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.294$ and immunotherapy complement each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:04.294 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.462$ very well where sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:07.462 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.052$ you'll give immunotherapy and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:09.052 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.530$ radiation therapy works better or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:28:10.530 --> 00:28:12.186 you give the radiation therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:12.235 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.707$ the immunotherapy works better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:28:13.710 --> 00:28:14.326 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:28:14.326 --> 00:28:17.470 this is still a very active area of research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00{:}28{:}17.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.798$ but we know that immunother apy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:19.798 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.070$ chemotherapy as well oftentimes will

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.359$ make radiation work better on the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

00:28:24.360 --> 00:28:25.800 But again, like you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.840$ it might also make side

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.672$ effects of treatment worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.416$ So we just have to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593585

 $00:28:29.416 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.800$ careful with how we select,

 $00:28:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.240$ how we sequence the treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.272$ Dr. Nadine Housri is an associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:35.272 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.000$ professor of the apeutic radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:37.068 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.036$ at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.072$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

00:28:41.072 --> 00:28:43.060 the address is canceranswers@yale.edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.820$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.209$ are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00{:}28{:}48.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.143$ form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:49.143 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.567$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:51.567 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.410$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00{:}28{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.240$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00{:}28{:}55.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.682$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281659

 $00:28:57.682 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.000$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.